

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,756	02/13/2001	Stevan P. Vasic	7885.5	9702	
21999 KIRTON AND	7590 06/05/2007 MCCONKIE		EXAMINER		
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE,			SHEIKH, ASFAND M		
SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALI LAKE	2111, 01 64111		3627	3627	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		,	06/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•		09/782,756	VASIC, STEVAN P.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	· Art Unit		
		Asfand M. Sheikh	3627		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address -		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Propersor of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	·	s is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 94-96,99-107,110 and 113-118 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 94-96,99-107,110 and 113-118 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	1.		
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyartion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 09/782,756 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on 14-Mar-07 has been entered. Claims 94-96, 99-108, 110, 113-118 are pending for examination.

In light of the amendments made to the independent claims and the newly added claim, this action has been made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claim 94, 95, 100-108, 110, 114-118 is rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kravetz et al (hereinafter Kravetz).

As per claim 94, Kravetz teaches receiving an electronic request (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 38-40) for said payroll access against wages of said employee (Kravetz, see at

least, col. 4, lines 5-12), wherein said request is made from said employee to a third party who is not an employer of said employer of said employee (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 5-12 and lines 38-40; FIG. 2), wherein said wages have been or will be earned by said employee but not yet been paid by said employer of said employee (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 5-12), and wherein said payroll access is upon demand by said employee and at a frequency that is determined by said employee based upon predetermined criteria (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 5-12 and lines 38-40; FIG. 2); authorizing a distribution of payroll by the third party based upon said electronic request (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 5-12 and lines 38-40; FIG. 2); automatically distributing said payroll distribution to said employee and deducting an amount corresponding to said payroll distribution from a future wage payment to said employee (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 5-12 and lines 38-40; FIG. 2).

As per claim 95, Kravetz teaches wherein said electronic request is received via an automated teller machine and said payroll advance is forwarded to said automated teller machine (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 38-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/782,756

Art Unit: 3627

As per claim 100, Kravetz teaches wherein said payroll distribution is preformed using payroll access resource (Kravetz, see at least, FIG. 2).

As per claim 101, Kravetz teaches wherein said payroll access resource is one of: a bank account (Kravetz, see at least, FIG. 2), a credit account, a secondary payroll access account, a shared amount, a trust account, a temporary account, a savings account, and a checking account.

As per claim 102, Kravetz teaches wherein the payroll access resource is an account holding party selected from the group consisting of: the employer, a bank (Kravetz, see at least, FIG. 2), a credit union, and a third-party financial institution.

As per claim 103, Kravetz teaches wherein said authorizing comprises determining an amount of money available through said payroll access (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 13-27 and lines 38-40).

As per claim 104, Kravetz teaches wherein said determining an amount of money available through said payroll advance is

determined before said distribution (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 13-27 and lines 38-40).

Page 5

As per claim 105, Kravetz teaches wherein said authorizing comprises charging a transaction fee to said employee (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 10-11).

As per claim 106, Kravetz teaches distributing comprises charging a transaction fee to said employee (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 10-11)

As per claims 107, 108, 110, 114, 115 and 118, The Examiner notes that claims the following claims are substantially similar to those of claims 94-95 and 100-106; and thus are rejected under similar grounds as set forth above.

As per claim 116, the Examiner asserts that it is inherent that loan amount available to a user is at least partially based on a relative risk of non-payment during the application process (Kravetz, see at least, col. 4, lines 63-67 and col. 5, lines 1-11).

Application/Control Number: 09/782,756 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 96 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kravetz et al. (hereinafter Kravetz) as applied to claim 94 above, and in further view of Official Notice.

As per claim 96, the Examiner takes Official Notice that communication via the Internet and telephone was old and well known at the time of the invention.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Georgetown in view of Kravetz with Internet or telephone communication because it is well known in the art, that Internet and telephone communication offer high speed and reliable communication platforms with easy accessibility.

3. Claims 99 and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Kravetz et al. (hereinafter Kravetz) as applied to claim 94 above, and in further view of Risafi et al. (hereinafter Risafi).

As per claims 99 and 113, the examiner notes that Kravetz silent with respect to wherein said authorizing distribution comprises at least one of (i) a personal identification number, (ii) a biometric identification, (iii) a password, (iv) an electronic key, (v) a signature verification, (vi) a photo identification to authenticate said employee.

Risafi discloses the use of a PIN for an ATM (Risafi, see at least, col. 7, lines 50-55).

The examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kravetz to include a PIN as taught by Risafi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to provide improved security via the use of a PIN.

4. Claim 117 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kravetz et al. (hereinafter Kravetz) as applied to claim 94 above, and in further view an article by

Rusty Cawley, "New Texas Capital product marries payroll, ATMs" (hereinafter Cawley).

As per claim 117, the examiner notes that Kravetz is iselfn with respect to charging for employee for payroll advances before the distributing step.

Cawley teaches the use of charging nothing for first payroll advance (Cawley, see at least, see page 2, lines 14-15) and \$1 to \$2 for each additional advance).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kravetz to include charging for payroll advances as taught by Cawley. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to help pay the cost of the service.

Official Notice

Since the Applicant did not seasonably traverse the well-known (Official Notice) statement as stated in the previous Office Action, The Examiner notes the object of the well-known (Official Notice) statement is taken to be admitted prior art. See MPEP §2144.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,756

Art Unit: 3627

Response to Arguments

Page 9

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 94-96, 99-108, 110, and 113-117 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,756 Page 10

Art Unit: 3627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asfand M. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1466. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8a-4:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,756

Art Unit: 3627

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Asfand M Sheikh Examiner Art Unit 3627

ams 25-May-07

rimary Examiner, AU 362,